REMARKS

A Restriction Requirement was mailed in the present case on January 11, 2006. Since this response

is being timely submitted, no further fee is thought to be due at this time. If any additional fee is due

for the continued prosecution of this application, please charge the same to Applicant's Deposit

Account No. 50-2555 (Whitaker, Chalk, Swindle & Sawyer, LLP).

The Examiner has required restriction between apparatus Claims 1-7, classified in class 138, subclass

143, and method Claims 8-18, classified in class 427, subclass 528. Applicant hereby elects to

prosecute Claims 8-18, without traverse and without prejudice toward filing a divisional application

containing withdrawn Claims 1-7.

As Applicant understands the Examiner's remarks, the Examiner has also required an election of

species between those claims directed toward an article having a coating layer formed with an

electrolyte solution and those claims directed toward forming a coated article without the use of an

electrolyte solution. Applicant's review of the original claims indicates that Claims 1-5 do not

include the explicit feature of the electrolyte solution, while Claims 6-18 do explicitly include the

electrolyte solution feature. Applicant hereby elects the second species of the invention, as set out

in Claims 6-18, which include the use of the electrolyte solution in forming the coating on the article.

The above remarks and amendments to the claims are thought to fully address the outstanding office

action in the case. An early examination on the merits of the case would be appreciated.

Date: Jun 18, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Charles D. Gunter, Jr., Reg. No. 29,386

Whitaker, Chalk, Swindle& Sawyer, LLP

301 Commerce St, Suite 3500

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

(817) 878-0504

ATTORNEY(S) FOR APPLICANT

-6-